1	BEFORE THE
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	IN THE MATTER OF: )
4	NUON ACQUISITION SUB, INC., ) UTILITIES, INC., and EACH OF THE ) 21 ILLINOIS OPERATING SUBSIDIARIES)
5	OF UTILITIES, INC.
6	) No. 01-0480 Joint Application for Approval of ) Proposed Merger. )
7	-
8	Chicago, Illinois October 31, 2001
9	Met pursuant to notice at 10:00 a.m.
10	
11	BEFORE:
12	MS. CLAUDIA E. SAINSOT, Administrative Law
13	Judge.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	APPEARANCES:					
2	SIDLEY, AUSTIN, BROWN & WOOD, by MR. BRIAN A. McALEENAN and					
3	MR. G. DARRYL REED  10 South Dearborn					
4	Chicago, Illinois 60603  Appearing for the Applicant;					
5	MR. ANDREW G. HUCKMAN and					
6	MR. JOHN FEELEY					
7	160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800 Chicago, Illinois 60601					
8	Appearing for Staff.					
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21	SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by					
22	Tracy L. Ross, CSR					

1		INDE	E X	D -	D -
2	Witnesses:	Direct	Cross	Re - direct	Re- cross
3	Carl Wenz Thomas Griffin	19 30			
4	Sheena Kight William Johnson	34	40	41	
5	WIIIIAM UUMISU.	1 50	10	11	
6					
7					
8					
9	E	XHIB	ITS		
10	Number		entificat	ion Tn	Evidence
11	Number	101 100			
12	Applicant's No. Applicant's No.		18 18		21 22
13	Applicant's No. Applicant's No.	3 1	L8 L8		26 28
14	Staff No. 1.00 Staff No. 2.00	-	L8 L8		31 35
15	Staff No. 3.00		18		40
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					

- 1 (Whereupon, Joint Applicant's
- 2 Exhibit Nos. 1-4 were
- 3 marked for identification
- 4 as of this date.)
- 5 (Whereupon, Staff
- 6 Exhibit Nos. 1.00, 2.00 and 3.00
- 7 were marked for identification
- 8 as of this date.)
- 9 JUDGE SAINSOT: By the authority vested in me
- 10 by the Illinois Commerce Commission I now call
- 11 Docket No. 01-0480. It is the petition of Nuon
- 12 Acquisition Sub, Inc., Utilities, Inc., and each
- of the 21 plus Illinois operating subsidiaries of
- 14 Utilities, Inc. It is the joint application for
- 15 approval of a proposed merger.
- 16 Will the parties identify themselves for
- 17 the record, please.
- MR. McALEENAN: Yes, appearing for Utilities
- 19 Incorporated, Brian McAleenan, M-c, capital
- 20 A-l-e-e-n-a-n, Sidley, Austin, Brown & Wood, 10
- 21 South Dearborn Street, Chicago Illinois 60603.
- 22 MR. FEELEY: John Feeley and Andrew G. Huckman

- 1 representing Staff of the Illinois Commerce
- 2 Commission; address is 160 North LaSalle Street,
- 3 Suite C-800, Chicago, Illinois 60601.
- 4 JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. Thank you.
- 5 Mr. McAleenan, you can proceed.
- 6 MR. McALEENAN: I'd like to present the
- 7 testimony of Carl Wenz.
- 8 (Witness sworn.)
- 9 CARL WENZ,
- 10 called as a witness herein, having been first
- 11 duly sworn, was examined and testified as
- 12 follows:
- 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 14 BY
- MR. Mcaleenan:
- 16 Q. Please state your name for the record.
- 17 A. My name is Carl Wenz.
- Q. Mr. Wenz, by whom are you employed?
- 19 A. By Utilities, Incorporated.
- Q. What is your position at Utilities,
- 21 Incorporated?
- 22 A. I am vice president of regulatory matters

- 1 and for Utilities, Incorporated, and all of its
- 2 subsidiaries.
- 3 Q. Mr. Wenz, I direct your attention to a
- 4 document entitled, Direct Testimony of Carl Wenz
- 5 that has been marked as Joint Applicant's Exhibit
- 6 No. 1. Can you please identify this document.
- 7 A. Yes. That's my prefiled direct testimony.
- 8 Q. Was this testimony prepared by you or at
- 9 your direction?
- 10 A. Yes, it was.
- 11 Q. Do you have any corrections or changes you
- 12 would like to make to this testimony at this
- 13 time?
- 14 A. No, I do not.
- 15 Q. If I asked you the same questions
- 16 contained in that testimony today, would your
- 17 answers remain the same?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 MR. McALEENAN: I now move to admit the Direct
- 20 Testimony of Carl Wenz as Joint Applicant's
- 21 Exhibit No. 1.
- 22 JUDGE SAINSOT: Any objection?

- 1 MR. HUCKMAN: There is no objection from
- 2 Staff.
- JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. That being said, the
- 4 motion is granted, Counsel. The Joint
- 5 Applicant's Exhibit No. 1, which is the Direct
- 6 Testimony of Carl Wenz, is admitted into
- 7 evidence. Thank you.
- 8 (Whereupon, Joint Applicant's
- 9 Exhibit No. 1, was
- 10 admitted into evidence as
- of this date.)
- 12 BY MR. MCALEENAN:
- 13 Q. Mr. Wenz, I now direct your attention to
- 14 a document entitled, Rebuttal Testimony of Carl
- 15 Wenz which has been marked as Joint Applicant's
- 16 Exhibit No. 2. Can you please identify this
- 17 document?
- 18 A. Yes. This is my prefiled rebuttal
- 19 testimony.
- Q. Was this rebuttal testimony prepared by
- 21 you or at your direction?
- 22 A. Yes, it was.

- 1 Q. Do you have any corrections or changes you
- 2 would like to make to that testimony at this
- 3 time?
- 4 A. No, I do not.
- 5 Q. If I asked you the same questions
- 6 contained in that testimony today, would your
- 7 answers be the same?
- 8 A. Yes, they would.
- 9 MR. McALEENAN: I now move to admit into
- 10 evidence the rebuttal testimony of Carl Wenz,
- 11 Joint Applicant's Exhibit No. 2.
- 12 JUDGE SAINSOT: Any objection from Staff?
- MR. HUCKMAN: No objection from Staff.
- 14 JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. That being said, Joint
- 15 Applicant's Exhibit No. 2, which is the rebuttal
- 16 testimony of Carl Wenz, is admitted into
- 17 evidence.
- 18 (Whereupon, Joint Applicant's
- 19 Exhibit No. 2 was
- 20 admitted into evidence as
- of this date.)
- JUDGE SAINSOT: You can proceed,

- 1 Mr. McAleenan.
- 2 BY MR. McALEENAN:
- 3 Q. Mr. Wenz, subject to the filing of the
- 4 joint application was Holiday Hills Utilities,
- 5 Inc's acquisition of Community Service Corp's
- 6 assets completed establishing Holiday Hills
- 7 Utilities, Inc., as an Illinois operating
- 8 subsidiary of Utilities, Incorporated, pursuant
- 9 to the Commission's order in Docket No. 00 -0763?
- 10 A. Yes, it was.
- 11 Q. Also, subsequent to the filing of the
- joint application, did the Commission authorize
- 13 Westlake Utilities, Inc.'s acquisition of
- 14 Westlake Utilities Service Company's assets in
- 15 Docket No. 01-0050?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Was the Westlake Utilities, Inc.,
- 18 transaction then completed establishing Westlake
- 19 Utilities, Inc., as an Illinois operating
- 20 subsidiary of Utilities, Incorporated, pursuant
- 21 to the Commission's order in Docket No. 01-0050?
- 22 A. Yes. We have closed that transaction.

- 1 Q. Presently, is the proposed acquisition of
- 2 Wildwood Utility Company's assets by Wildwood
- 3 Water Service Company still pending before the
- 4 Commission in Docket No. 01-0178?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 MR. McALEENAN: Can we go off the record for
- 7 just one moment?
- 8 JUDGE SAINSOT: Sure.
- 9 (Discussion off the record.)
- 10 BY MR. MCALEENAN:
- 11 Q. Mr. Wenz, with respect to Westlake
- 12 Utilities, Inc., is it your understanding that
- tariffs have yet to be filed for that entity?
- 14 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 15 Q. Mr. Wenz, I'd also like to make a note for
- 16 the record that this exhibit, which will be the
- 17 revised Exhibit A of Utilities, Incorporated,
- does that reflect the status of the Holiday Hills
- 19 Utilities, Inc., Westlake Utilities, Inc., and
- 20 Wildwood Water Service Company as you've just
- 21 testified?
- 22 A. Yes, that's correct.

- 1 Q. One final question, Mr. Wenz, if and when
- the Commission approves the Wildwood Water
- 3 Service Company acquisition in Docket No. 01-0178
- 4 and completion of that transaction, will Wildwood
- 5 Water Service go -- become an Illinois operating
- 6 subsidiary of Utilities, Incorporated?
- 7 A. Yes. Yes, it will.
- 8 MR. McALEENAN: Thank you, Mr. Wenz. I now
- 9 tender Mr. Wenz for cross-examination.
- 10 JUDGE SAINSOT: Counsel, it might be easier
- 11 to -- while you have him in direct to get the
- 12 Exhibit 3 --
- 13 BY MR. McALEENAN:
- Q. Mr. Wenz, I direct your attention to a
- document entitled Utilities, Incorporated,
- 16 Illinois Operating Subsidiaries Revised. Can you
- 17 please identify that exhibit -- that document?
- 18 A. Yes. That is a list of Utilities, Inc's
- 19 Illinois operating subsidiaries including the
- 20 three most recent acquisitions, one of which has
- 21 not yet been approved by the Commission and then
- 22 the other two, Holiday Hills Utilities, Inc., and

- 1 Westlake Utilities, Inc., which have been
- 2 approved and closed.
- 3 MR. McALEENAN: Thank you, Mr. Wenz. I now
- 4 move for the admission into evidence of Joint
- 5 Applicant's Exhibit No. 3, the revised Exhibit A
- 6 listing the Utilities, Incorporated, Illinois
- 7 operating subsidiaries.
- 8 JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. Any objection from
- 9 Staff?
- 10 MR. HUCKMAN: No objection from Staff.
- 11 JUDGE SAINSOT: Being no objection, the
- 12 revised list of Utilities, Inc., operating
- 13 subsidiaries, which is the Joint Applicant's
- 14 Exhibit No. 3, is entered into evidence and your
- 15 motion is granted.
- 16 (Whereupon, Joint Applicant's
- 17 Exhibit No. 3, was
- 18 admitted into evidence as
- of this date.)
- 20 MR. McALEENAN: Thank you. Then, finally,
- 21 Madam Examiner, we have the motion for the
- 22 confidential treatment of Joint Applicant's

- 1 Exhibit No. 4, which will be Exhibit G to the
- 2 joint application, which is the Utilities,
- 3 Incorporated Hart Scott Redino filing.
- 4 JUDGE SAINSOT: Is there any objection from
- 5 Staff?
- 6 MR. HUCKMAN: Staff does not have an objection
- 7 to the motion.
- 8 JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. That being said, Joint
- 9 Applicant's Exhibit 4 which is a filing required
- 10 by federal law is admitted into evidence -- or
- 11 no, excuse me is -- your motion is for
- 12 confidential treatment.
- MR. Mcaleenan: Yes.
- 14 JUDGE SAINSOT: It will be under seal.
- MR. McALEENAN: Okay. Additionally, Madam
- 16 Examiner, I'd like to move for the admission of
- Joint Applicant's Exhibit No. 4, the Hart Scott
- 18 Redino filing of Utilities, Incorporated into
- 19 evidence.
- 20 JUDGE SAINSOT: Any objection?
- 21 MR. HUCKMAN: There's no objection from Staff.
- JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. Your motion is granted

- 1 and for the record, what I referred to before as
- 2 a federal filing is a Hart Scott Redino filing
- 3 otherwise known as Joint Applicant's Exhibit
- 4 No. 4 is admitted into evidence.
- 5 (Whereupon, Joint Applicant's
- 6 Exhibit No. 4, was
- 7 admitted into evidence as
- 8 of this date.)
- 9 JUDGE SAINSOT: You can proceed.
- 10 MR. Mcaleenan: I now tender Mr. Wenz for
- 11 cross-examination.
- MR. HUCKMAN: There is no cross-examination
- 13 for Mr. Wenz from Staff.
- 14 JUDGE SAINSOT: I have just one question of
- 15 Mr. Wenz just for clarification. I think it's
- 16 attached to your rebuttal testimony. There is an
- 17 estimate of the costs --
- 18 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 19 JUDGE SAINSOT: -- that will be incurred as a
- 20 result of this merger. I just am curious as
- 21 to -- and I don't think it's that obvious to a
- 22 layman, when you say UI personnel cap time, what

- 1 is cap time referred to?
- 2 THE WITNESS: It's the time that UI personnel
- 3 spend on the proceeding. Employees keep time
- 4 sheets and assign their time to various projects.
- 5 To the extent that my time today, for example,
- 6 would be -- on my time sheet, I would classify
- 7 this as a UI Nuon merger expense, you know, the
- 8 time that I spend today will not be recovered
- 9 from ratepayers which is one of the costs
- 10 associated with the transaction.
- 11 JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. Thank you for
- 12 clarifying that. I have no further questions.
- MR. McALEENAN: The Joint Applicants rest.
- 14 JUDGE SAINSOT: Staff?
- MR. FEELEY: At this time we'd call our first
- 16 witness, Thomas L. Griffin.

18

19

20

21

- 1 (Witness sworn.)
- 2 THOMAS GRIFFIN,
- 3 called as a witness herein, having been first
- 4 duly sworn, was examined and testified as
- 5 follows:
- 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 7 BY
- 8 MR. FEELEY:
- 9 Q. Could you please state your name for the
- 10 record.
- 11 A. Thomas L. Griffin.
- 12 Q. And by whom are you employed?
- 13 A. I'm employed by the Illinois Commerce
- 14 Commission in the Financial Analysis Division.
- 15 Q. Mr. Griffin, do you have in front of you a
- 16 nine-page document with a cover page that's been
- 17 marked for identification as ICC Staff Exhibit
- 18 1.00, the Direct Testimony of Thomas L. Griffin?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And was that document prepared by you or
- 21 under your direction, supervision and control?
- 22 A. Yes, it was.

- 1 Q. Do you have any additions, deletions or
- 2 modifications to make to ICC Staff Exhibit 1.00?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. If I were today to ask you the same series
- of questions set forth in ICC Staff Exhibit 1.00,
- 6 would your answers be the same as set forth in
- 7 that document?
- 8 A. Yes, they would.
- 9 MR. FEELEY: At this time I'd move to admit
- 10 ICC Staff Exhibit 1.00, the Direct Testimony of
- 11 Thomas L. Griffin.
- 12 JUDGE SAINSOT: Is there any objection,
- 13 Mr. McAleenan?
- MR. McALEENAN: No, no objection.
- 15 JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. That being said, Staff
- 16 Exhibit No. 1.00, the Direct Testimony of Thomas
- 17 L. Griffin, is entered into evidence.
- 18 (Whereupon, Staff
- 19 Exhibit No. 1.00 was
- 20 admitted into evidence as
- of this date.)
- MR. FEELEY: Next, we'd call our second

- 1 witness, Sheena Kight.
- 2 JUDGE SAINSOT: You want to tender him for
- 3 cross? At least go through that formality.
- 4 MR. FEELEY: I'm sorry. At this time,
- 5 Mr. Griffin is available for cross.
- 6 MR. McALEENAN: Joint Applicants have no
- 7 cross-examination.
- 8 JUDGE SAINSOT: I hate to tell you, but I have
- 9 just one question and it's just for
- 10 clarification.
- On page 7 of your testimony, your
- 12 prefiled testimony, it's line 118 -- or actually,
- 13 it starts further -- it starts on 117, you say
- 14 that you recommend that the Commission's order
- 15 approving the merger find that any cost savings
- 16 resulting from the merger should not increase the
- 17 revenue requirements in future rate filings.
- 18 Could you just explain what that means?
- 19 THE WITNESS: Well, my understanding of Part
- 20 204C of the -- 7204C of the Public Utilities Act
- 21 says that the Commission must make a finding as
- 22 to the handling of savings in a merger and so

- 1 even though the petitioner indicated there would
- 2 be no savings I'm recommending that there be an
- 3 actual finding that should there be any
- 4 unexpected savings that the treatment is in the
- 5 order.
- 6 JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Griffin.
- 7 I think that's it, thank you. You answered all
- 8 my questions.
- 9 MR. FEELEY: Any follow-up cross for
- 10 Mr. Griffin?
- 11 MR. McALEENAN: No.
- 12 JUDGE SAINSOT: So it looks like, Mr. Griffin,
- 13 you're free to go.
- MR. FEELEY: I'm sorry, did you admit his
- 15 testimony? I can't remember.
- 16 JUDGE SAINSOT: Yes.
- MR. FEELEY: Then we call our second witness,
- 18 Ms. Sheena Kight.

20

21

- 1 (Witness sworn.)
- 2 SHEENA KIGHT,
- 3 called as a witness herein, having been first
- 4 duly sworn, was examined and testified as
- 5 follows:
- 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 7 BY
- 8 MR. FEELEY:
- 9 Q. Ms. Kight, do you have in front of you a
- 10 document which the court reporter has marked for
- identification as ICC Staff Exhibit 2.00, Direct
- 12 Testimony of Sheena Kight dated October 10, 2001?
- 13 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And that consists of six pages of
- 15 narrative text?
- 16 A. Yes, it does.
- 17 Q. Was that document prepared by you or under
- 18 your direction, supervision and control?
- 19 A. Yes, it was.
- 20 Q. Do you have any additions, deletions or
- 21 modifications to make to ICC Staff Exhibit 2.00?
- 22 A. No, I do not.

- 1 Q. If I were today to ask you the same series
- of questions set forth in ICC Staff Exhibit 2.00,
- 3 would your answers be the same as set forth in
- 4 that document?
- 5 A. Yes, they would.
- 6 MR. FEELEY: At this time I would move to
- 7 admit ICC Staff Exhibit 2.00, the Direct
- 8 Testimony of Sheena Kight, dated October 10,
- 9 2001.
- 10 JUDGE SAINSOT: Any objection?
- 11 MR. McALEENAN: No.
- 12 JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. That being said, your
- 13 motion is granted. The Direct Testimony of
- 14 Sheena Kight, which is Staff Exhibit No. 2.00, is
- 15 admitted into evidence.
- 16 (Whereupon, Staff
- 17 Exhibit No. 2.00, was
- 18 admitted into evidence as
- of this date.)
- 20 MR. FEELEY: Ms. Kight's available for
- 21 cross-examination.
- JUDGE SAINSOT: Any cross?

- 1 MR. McALEENAN: The Joint Applicants have no
- 2 cross-examination.
- JUDGE SAINSOT: I don't either; if that's
- 4 correct English.
- 5 MR. HUCKMAN: Are we ready for the next
- 6 witness?
- 7 JUDGE SAINS OT: Mm-hmm.
- 8 MR. HUCKMAN: At this time the Staff of the
- 9 Illinois Commerce Commission will call William R.
- Johnson and ask that the witness be sworn.
- 11 (Witness sworn.)
- 12 WILLIAM JOHNSON,
- 13 called as a witness herein, having been first
- 14 duly sworn, was examined and testified as
- 15 follows:
- 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 17 BY
- 18 MR. HUCKMAN:
- 19 Q. Could you please state your name for the
- 20 record and spell your last name.
- 21 A. William R. Johnson, J-o-h-n-s-o-n.
- Q. And by whom are you employed, Mr. Johnson?

- 1 A. The Illinois Commerce Commission.
- 2 O. And what is your position with the
- 3 Commission?
- 4 A. Rate analyst in the Water Department of
- 5 the Financial Analysis Division.
- 6 Q. You have before you a document consisting
- 7 of a cover page and seven pages in question and
- 8 answer form. This item is marked Illinois
- 9 Commerce Commission Staff Exhibit 3.00. Was this
- document prepared by you or under your direction?
- 11 A. Yes, it was.
- 12 Q. Does this document constitute your direct
- 13 testimony in this proceeding?
- 14 A. Yes, it does.
- 15 Q. Are there any changes you would like to
- 16 make to this document at this time?
- 17 A. I've updated my testimony to reflect
- 18 changes in a number of UI operating subsidiaries
- 19 and I've also corrected an acronym in my
- 20 testimony. However, there are no substantive
- 21 changes.
- 22 Q. So the item that was previously filed on

- 1 the electronic docket -- I'm sorry, the item that
- 2 was previously filed on the docketing system and
- 3 circulated to the parties included some errors
- 4 and you have updated those and the version that
- 5 I'm giving to the court reporter today is correct
- 6 and includes the items that you have just
- 7 referenced?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. If I were to ask you all of the same
- 10 questions in the document that I've given to the
- 11 court reporter today, would your answers be the
- 12 same ones included in that document?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 MR. HUCKMAN: At this time I submit Illinois
- 15 Commerce Commission Staff Exhibit 3.00 for
- 16 admittance into this proceeding's record and
- 17 tender witness, William R. Johnson for
- 18 cross-examination regarding this exhibit, if any.
- 19 JUDGE SAINSOT: Any questions Mr. McAleenan?
- MR. Mcaleenan: No.
- JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. I have no questions.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Can I go off the record for a

- 1 second?
- 2 MR. HUCKMAN: We do need to admit that item
- 3 into evidence.
- JUDGE SAINSOT: Oh, yes, I'm sorry, you're
- 5 absolutely correct. Right. Thank you. Any
- 6 objection?
- 7 MR. Mcaleenan: No.
- 8 JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. Thank you for
- 9 correcting me.
- 10 MR. HUCKMAN: Sure. May we go off the record
- 11 for a moment?
- 12 JUDGE SAINSOT: Do you want to admit the
- 13 document?
- MR. HUCKMAN: I'm sorry. My apologies.
- 15 JUDGE SAINSOT: Let's do that and we can take
- 16 a little off-the-record break. For the record
- there are no objections to the admission of Staff
- 18 Exhibit No. 3.00, which is the Direct Testimony
- of William R. Johnson. That being said it will
- 20 be admitted into evidence.

- 1 (Whereupon, Staff
- 2 Exhibit No. 3.00 was
- 3 admitted into evidence as
- 4 of this date.)
- 5 JUDGE SAINSOT: We will go off the record for
- 6 just a second.
- 7 (Discussion off the record.)
- 8 JUDGE SAINSOT: We're back on the record and
- 9 we have just admitted the prefiled testimony of
- 10 William R. Johnson into evidence.
- 11 And, Mr. Huckman?
- MR. HUCKMAN: We tender Mr. Johnson for
- 13 cross-examination, if any.
- 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 15 BY
- MR. Mcaleenan:
- 17 Q. Mr. Johnson, the Joint Applicants do have
- 18 a question.
- 19 Mr. Wenz mentioned in his direct
- 20 testimony that Westlake Utilities, Inc., had not
- 21 filed tariffs; is that correct?
- 22 A. No, it is not.

- 1 Q. Has Westlake Utilities, Inc., filed
- 2 tariffs?
- 3 A. Yes, they have.
- Q. Mr. Johnson, has Holiday Hills Utilities,
- 5 Inc., filed tariffs?
- 6 A. No, they have not.
- 7 MR. McALEENAN: Thank you, Mr. Johnson.
- 8 JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. Any further matter from
- 9 you, Mr. Huckman? Rebuttal?
- 10 MR. HUCKMAN: Yes. One redirect question.
- 11 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 12 BY
- MR. HUCKMAN:
- Q. Mr. Johnson, in your testimony when you
- 15 referred to Community Service Corporation, that
- 16 proceeding also -- could you -- in your testimony
- 17 you refer to Community Service Corporation.
- 18 Could you please explain how Community Service
- 19 Corporation is related to Holiday Hills?
- 20 A. Community Service Corporation prior to the
- 21 docket, the order that came out was the name of
- 22 the company and then after it was -- the end of

- 1 the docket it was taken over by Holiday Hills.
- 2 The name was changed to Holiday Hills.
- 3 Q. So when you refer to Community Service
- 4 Corporation in your testimony, you were
- 5 essentially referring to the Holiday Hills that
- 6 was previously mentioned in the questions Mr.
- 7 McAleenan asked; correct?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 MR. HUCKMAN: Thank you.
- 10 JUDGE SAINSOT: Anything further from you,
- 11 Mr. McAleenan?
- MR. Mcaleenan: No, nothing further.
- 13 JUDGE SAINSOT: I just have one question for
- 14 you, Mr. Johnson. How do you know about the name
- 15 change of that company?
- 16 THE WITNESS: It was in the docket itself --
- 17 JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. Thank you.
- 18 THE WITNESS: -- in the order.
- 19 JUDGE SAINSOT: Thank you, Mr. Johnson, I
- 20 think that's all from you.
- 21 Anything further from Staff?
- MR. HUCKMAN: Nothing further from Staff.

1	JUDGE SAINSOT: Any rebuttal?
2	MR. Mcaleenan: Nothing further from the Joint
3	Applicants.
4	JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. That being said, the
5	record will be marked heard and taken.
6	HEARD AND TAKEN.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF ILLINOIS )
4	COUNTY OF COOK )
5	CASE NO. 01-0480 )
6	TITLE: NUON ACQUISITION SUB, INC., et al.
7	I, Tracy L. Ross do hereby certify that I am a
8	court reporter contracted by SULLIVAN REPORTING
9	COMPANY, of Chicago, Illinois; that I reported in
10	shorthand the evidence taken and the proceedings
11	had in the hearing on the above-entitled case on
12	the 31st day of October A.D. 2001; that the
13	foregoing 29 pages are a true and correct
14	transcript of my shorthand notes so taken as
15	aforesaid, and contains all the proceedings
16	directed by the Commission or other person
17	authorized by it to conduct the said hearing to
18	be stenographically reported.
19	Dated at Chicago, Illinois, this 1st day
20	of November A.D. 2001.
21	